International webinar on understanding cross country practices for policy evaluation, 23 June 2020

Evaluating financial support to French businesses facing the Covid–19 pandemic

Benoît Cœuré

Chair of the Committee for monitoring the implementation and evaluating the measures to provide financial support to businesses facing the Covid-19 pandemic

This presentation does not necessarily reflect the views of the Committee

The Committee

Created on April 22 by the French National Assembly

- Composition: 3 members of the National Assembly, 3 senators, 2 members of the Court of Auditors, 2 representatives of the administration, 3 representatives of local authorities, 2 representatives of business organisations
- Extended to include social partners
- **Secretariat**: Inspection générale des finances and France Stratégie. Support from a scientific steering committee

Mission

- Analysing the effects of the Covid–19 shock on firms
- Monitoring the implementation of support measures
- Assessing the effectiveness of economic support measures and their efficiency from a public finance perspective
- Delivering a final report in March 2021

List of measures (1)

An evolving set of measures: three Amending Budget Acts in March, April and June

Key measures

With impact on the public balance: €57.5bn (2.6% of GDP)

Short-time work, Solidarity Fund (handouts to SMEs)

No impact on the public balance: €77.5bn (3.5% of GDP)

Deferral of tax and social security contributions, early repayment

Guarantees: €327.5bn (14.9% GDP)

State-guaranteed loans, credit insurance

List of measures (2)

- Short-time work: reimbursement of 70% of the gross salary for salaries up to €6,927; an estimated 8.6M employees making actual use of the scheme, €24bn provisioned
- State loan guarantees: €80bn already approved + €12bn for large companies
- Solidarity Fund: €4.5bn already disbursed (64% of provisions) to 1.6M companies
- Tax deferral: €2.5bn and 68,500 companies benefiting
- Deferral of social security contributions: €25bn, i.e. 28% of contributions due in normal times

Implementation monitoring

- Weekly dashboard posted publicly: key figures for each scheme broken down by industry, company size and location
- Additional analyses provided to the Committee: companies financial health, international benchmarking...
- Ongoing matching of the data sources with the involvement of the different administrations to understand the combined use of measures by companies

Evaluation strategy*

- Main focus on four schemes: State loans guarantees, shorttime work, Solidarity Fund, deferral of tax and social contributions
- Assessing effectiveness and efficiency in achieving two main objectives:
 - (i) Limiting the destruction of production capacity in the French economy and the economic trough
 - (ii) Supporting economic recovery
- Assessing possible adverse effects on the economy and the cost to public finances

Main risks

For the economy

... in the short term:

- Insufficient and/or poorly designed measures (windfall effects)
- Simple postponement of corporate insolvency
- Hampering the recovery by hindering the reallocation of production factors

... in the medium term: impact on the quality of jobs and on France's competitive advantages

For public finances

... in the short term: risk of cost slipping (windfall effects, fraud)

... in the medium term: risk to debt sustainability

A unique endeavour

- Lack of hindsight: evaluation to be delivered less than a year after starting implementing the measures → scarce and fragile data, lack of hindsight on impact
- Very broad scope: almost all companies covered
- Unique economic environment: scarce and fragile macro data (notwithstanding statisticians agility and ingenuity)
- Benchmarking is challenging: vast differences across countries in terms of pre-crisis situations (debt levels, industry structure), impact of the pandemic, severity and duration of lockdowns, and so on.

First evaluation options (1)

- i. Matching firm-level usage of the measures with 2018/2019 structural balance sheet data
- Confronting usage of the measures with firm-level characteristics (industry, size, location, turnover, workforce, debt ratio, equity, etc.)
- In case of heterogeneous usage among firms with similar characteristics => suspicion of bottlenecks for certain firms, windfall effects, or fraud
- ii. Ex-ante simulation of certain measures (e.g. State loan guarantees) based on company characteristics

First evaluation options (2)

- iii. Matching firm-level data on usage of the measures with post-lockdown business cycle data and individual companies' answers to business surveys
- Will help characterise the main trends, but lack of hindsight makes identifying causal effects impossible

iv. Exploiting heterogeneity embedded in the measures

 Threshold effects, evolutions of the measures over time, different access to some measures (e.g. by banking groups)

Outstanding issues

- Robust causal analysis not possible before end-2023, based on balance sheet data for 2021
- General equilibrium impact? Need to assess both short-term (mitigating the economic collapse, supporting the recovery) and long term impact (through public and private debt accumulation, financial risks, impact on investment and competitive advantages, etc.)
- Which macroeconomic counterfactual?
- **Disentangling the impact of measures** which lie within the scope of the evaluation and of other measures which have supported companies (e.g. loan or rent payment holidays)

Thank you

https://www.strategie.gouv.fr/actualites/chiffres-clesde-mise-oeuvre-mesures-de-soutien-financier-auxentreprises-confrontees